Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/091,439	KUNITA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cynthia Hamilton	1752
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Cynthia Hamilton</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Robert Mukai</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: NONE Claims discussed: NONE Prior art documents discussed: NONE Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
// YIVIHIA HAMILLUN	The examiner will provide a writt te record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview Part II above.
U.S. Palent and Trademark Office	tlated Interview Summary	Paper No. 20040616

6-16-04

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Mukai was informed that the amendment to the specification submitted March 8, 2004 was not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 wherein on page 13 applicants had set forth amendment by line instead of full paragraph. The examiner agreed to amend the specification as desired by applicants in view of all issues with respect to allowance of the application having been resolved by applicants concurrent amendment to the claims. Since only the amendment section to the specification could not be entered as submitted the examiner agreed to amend by examiner's amendment each line to be changed. It was noted by the examiner that certain underlined portions of the specification amendment were not part of the material to be amended but were original underlined words in the original specification papers. These were to be left un touched by the examiner's amendment.

Juliu Hambur June 16, 2004